

INCUNABULA GRAECA

Peer review rules

To be published in INCUNABULA GRAECA, a manuscript must represent an advance in knowledge and understanding in a field of interest to our series. All submitted manuscripts are sent for formal review to at least two reviewers..

Reviewers are chosen from among leading scholars, or younger and more promising scholars, in the various disciplines that are of interest to the series. Before sending the manuscript, the potential reviewer is asked about his or her intention to agree to work for INCUNABULA GRAECA. Preferably, reviewers remain anonymous to the authors.

Reviewers are asked to write their comments in a separate text consisting of at least 3 paragraphs:

- 1) a general comment on the manuscript, indicating strengths and weaknesses, level of innovation with respect to the main thesis, quality of references, etc., and clearly stating one's opinion on the publication of the manuscript (which can be accepted, rejected, or accepted upon revision).
- 2) detailed comments to the text (citing page, line, footnote) indicating what needs to be changed, corrected or eliminated.
- 3) final suggestions for changes, additions, corrections, or even cuts in the text.

Parts of the reviews can be sent to authors to help them revise their texts.